

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,191	04/02/2001	Takeshi Shishido	35.C15262	7314
5514 7:	590 12/29/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRIC	K CELLA HARPER	PADGETT, MARIANNE L		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TORK,	141 10112		1762	

**DATE MAILED: 12/29/2005** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/822,191	SHISHIDO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Marianne L. Padgett	1762				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addres	s			
Period fo	• •		<b>-</b>				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Poperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. imely filed in the mailing date of this commun ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Oc	ctober 2005					
·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	action is non-final.					
3)	B) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1, 6, 8, 10-15, 20, 22-30</u> is/are pendin	g in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6) Claim(s) 1, 6, 8, 10-15, 20, 22-30 is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)[	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-19	52.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		ı)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	• •					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stag	e			
* \$	application from the International Bureau ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of		od				
O	ce the attached detailed Office action to a list t	or the certified copies flot receive	<b>3</b> 0.				
Attachment	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) 🔲 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/822,191 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

1. Claims 1, 6, 8, 10-15, 20, 22 & 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In the independent claims 1 & 15 the amendment to part a of the independent claims reads "a...

number connected to a power source and closed by an exhaust line..." which as phrased is ambiguous

since the phrasing with source directly before enclosed implies/literally reads that it is the power source
that is enclosed in the exhaust line, however as seen in figure 1, described on page 20 the metal members
of the heating element is what is enclosed with no discussion of the location of power source. The
examiner suspects at the latter option is intended due to figure 1 and the logic that there is no good reason
to put a power source inside an exhaust line, but the claim should be clearly written.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1, 6, 8, 12-15, 20, 22 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shoichi Tanimura (JP 04-136175), in view of Ikeda et al (JP 8-299748), and further in

view of Tomoyasu et al (5,900,103), as discussed in sections 11, 6 & 3 of the 5/25/04, 12/28/04 & 7/5/2005 rejections.

Note the option of a heater incorporated as part of the line but not enclosed by the line, for instance within the wall of the exhaust path or line, has been eliminated from the claims hence Ikeda et al. is no longer an optional reference, but necessarily applied as previously discussed. The change in the plasma blocky means limitation from "comprising" to "consisting of" a second metal is electrically grounded member is not seen to make any significant difference in the applicability of the applied references.

- 4. Claims 10-11 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tanimura, in view of Ikeda; and further in view of Tomoyasu et al as applied to claims 1, 6, 8, 12-15, 20, 22 and 26-30 above, and further in view of Kanai et al (5,976,257), as previously described in Sections 13 & 4 of the actions dated 5/25/2004 & 7/5/2005.
- 5. Applicant's arguments filed 10/11/2005 and discussed above have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/822,191 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne L. Padgett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1425. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from about 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks, can be reached at (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MLP 2/27/2005

MARIANNE PADGETT